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Abstract

A multi-disciplinary study of the response of the rock mass to mining and mining-induced earthquakes has been conducted in six gold mines in South Africa at sites deeper than 3 km, or with equivalent stress. More than 80 holes (with a total length of more than 2.8 km) were drilled in earthquake-prone areas to locate faults and install instruments. Microfracturing activity associated with an M₉2.1 event at 3.3 km depth in Mponeng Mine and a highly stressed rock mass at 1 km depth in Ezulwini Mine were finely delineated and analysed. A hole drilled through the hypocentre of the M₉2.1 event at Mponeng Mine allowed the stress and strength in the seismogenic area to be constrained. Previously published in situ stress measurements had been limited to depths or stresses smaller than 2.7 km or 100 MPa, respectively. We successfully measured stress at depths and stresses up to 3.4 km and 146 MPa, respectively, at four mines. These in situ measured stresses were used to calibrate elastic stress modelling and yielded better estimates of stress and strength on the rupture planes of seven earthquakes (M₉2.1 to 4). Comparison of the elastically modelled stress with the constrained stress in a hole drilled across the M₉2.1 fault and the strain change monitored in situ at a close distance showed that the elastically modelled stress was smaller but correctable.

The South African National Seismograph Network was enhanced by installing 10 surface strong-motion seismometer stations in the Far West Rand mining district. Two other such dense surface networks are
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currently operated in mining areas by the Council for Geoscience: 25 stations in the Klerksdorp region, supported by the Mine Health and Safety Council; and 17 stations in the Central Rand to monitor fluid-induced seismicity. Research on the routine processing of spectral parameters was carried out using seismicity recorded by these 52 new surface stations.

1 Introduction

Gold production in South Africa has decreased sharply in recent years, although large ore resources remain in highly stressed pillars and at depths exceeding 4 km. This ore can only be mined if the risk posed by seismicity is overcome. Furthermore, Japanese seismologists are eager to monitor the rock mass in close proximity to earthquake hypocentres to gain a detailed understanding of nucleation and rupture processes. For those purposes, the Japanese–South African collaborative research work on mining-induced seismicity, which started in 1992 (Nicolaysen 1992), has been conducted on an increasing scale since 2009 (Ogasawara et al. 2009). The research has aimed to understand better the response of the rock mass to mining in highly stressed gold mines in South Africa: and how fault zones nucleate, evolve with time and loading, rupture, and generate violent seismic waves.

Here we describe research work that is being conducted at six underground sites: a 1 km deep shaft pillar (maximum principal stress, \( \sigma_1 > 100 \) MPa); three sites at 3-3.3 km depth, two beneath dip pillars, and the third beneath a regional pillar where \( \sigma_1 \) increased by several tens of MPa from its initial state due to mining; and two sites at 2.9-3 km depth in highly faulted ground. More than 80 holes with a total length exceeding 2.8 km were drilled to locate faults and install the instruments. These included acoustic emission (AE) sensors, sensitive strain and tilt meters, dynamic stress-change sensors on the potential rupture front, and an array to monitor waves transmitted through the fault zone (Figure 1). In stopes, the Council for Scientific and Industrial Research (CSIR) has installed stope closure meters and strong ground motion meters. On the surface, stations were added to the South African National Seismic Network, operated by the Council for Geoscience (CGS). The establishment of the research sites were described at the Sixth International Deep and High Stress Mining Seminar by Durrheim et al. (2012). Here we review the most significant findings since 2012: the measurement of in situ stress at levels that are more extreme than any previously published measurements (Section 2); the monitoring of acoustic emissions and the evolution of fracture zones (Section 3); and the enhancement of the national surface seismograph network (Section 4).

Figure 1 Schematic illustration of the research design. Jpn: Japanese researchers, CSIR: Council for Scientific and Industrial Research, CGS: Council for Geoscience
2 In situ stress measurements and stress modelling

2.1 Implementation of a modified method of in situ stress measurement

In order to mine as much gold as possible without compromising safety, it is essential to optimise the geometry and sequence of mining. Accurate stress information is critical when designing layouts to mine at great depths and extract remnants and pillars. However, it is often difficult to carry out in situ stress measurements because of borehole breakout or core discing. While it may be possible to find a drilling direction that has a reduced chance of borehole breakout or core discing, several difficulties remain:

The stress estimation methods that rely on laboratory testing of the borehole core (e.g. Kaiser effect or deformation rate analysis (DRA); Amadei & Stephansson 1997; Yamamoto et al. 1990, respectively) are difficult to use because the core must be loaded in compression to stress levels beyond the onset of dilatancy. It is difficult to identify the onset of subtle change that indicates in situ stress.

The hole must be sub-parallel to the maximum principal stress, \( \sigma_1 \). Consequently, the hydrofracturing method cannot be used to determine the \( \sigma_1 \) because the method determines the stress in the plane perpendicular to borehole axis. Should a hole be drilled so that \( \sigma_1 \) is on the plane perpendicular to the borehole axis, borehole breakouts will occur and prevent hydrofracturing.

The overcoring methods conventionally used in South Africa, e.g. the CSIRO HI technique (Kim & Franklin 1987) or CSIR triaxial strain cell method (Leeman & Hayes 1966), need high quality larger diameter drilling, which limit the number of measurements. Limited choice of drilling direction tends to result in longer hole length in order to be sufficiently far from tunnels or openings to measure stress, which further makes it difficult to measure stress quickly.

As reported by Gay (1975) and more recently by Wesseloo and Stacey (2006), very little in situ stress data has been published for each gold mining district. The hatched area in Figure 2 shows the ranges of principal stresses and depths previously published and compiled by Handley (2013), who selected from the databases of Stacey and Wesseloo (1998). It is noted that there are no published results at depths larger than 2.7 km or at stresses larger than 100 MPa.

On a typical major gold mine, a few tens of crews are continuously conducting geological drilling with small pneumatic machines. In order to enable overcoring with BX size bits (60 mm diameter), Ogasawara et al. (2012) modified the ISRM-approved compact conical-ended borehole overcoring (CCBO) technique (Sakaguchi et al. 1992; Sugawara & Obara 1999) that was originally designed for NX drilling (76 mm diameter). A strain cell consisting of 16 or 24 strain gauges is glued to the conically-shaped borehole end and overcored using the same bit that was used to drill the pilot hole. A 3D stress tensor can be determined with a single 10 cm overcoring. All procedures for an overcoring took only 2½ hours, proving suitable for deep levels South African deep level gold mines where underground working time is limited.

2.2 Calibration of elastic stress modelling

Lucier et al. (2009) attempted to model in situ stress in Tau Tona Mine. They began by assuming that \( \sigma_1 \) is vertical, \( \sigma_2 \) and \( \sigma_3 \) are horizontal, and that all stresses increase linearly with depth. Modelling mining-induced stress, they then adjusted the assumption until they could best account for the breakout observed in a 418 m long hole drilled into virgin ground from 118 Level at the Natural Earthquake Laboratory in South African Mines (NELSAM) site, 3.3 km below surface (L118 in Figure 2). The orientations of \( \sigma_2 \) and \( \sigma_3 \) obtained from an in situ stress measurement in the Tau Tona shaft pillar that had been made in 2000 (Tau Tona L83 in Figure 2) were also taken into account. The stress gradient thus constrained is hereafter referred to as ‘NELSAM’ (solid lines in Figure 1).

As detailed by Ogasawara et al. (2013), two BX CCBO stress measurements were carried out at sites undisturbed by mining or geological structures at depths of 3,362 and 3,325 m (CCBO L120 and CCBO L115 in Figure 2) at Tau Tona and Mponeng mines in January and February 2013, respectively. The drilling
directions were carefully designed to minimise the risk of borehole breakout or core discing. It was then found the assumption of Lucier et al. (2009) had to be modified, as shown by a dashed line in Figure 2(a).

Figure 2 (a) in situ measured principal stresses versus depth from surface. The shaded area represents the range of the previously published principal stresses measured in South Africa (Stacey and Wesseloo 1998; Handley 2013). The solid lines represent vertical gradients of principal stresses in virgin ground proposed by Lucier et al. (2009) based upon the comparison between elastically modelled stress and the borehole breakout in a 418 m long hole drilled east-southeast to the virgin ground from the site labelled NELSAM in Figure 2(b). Open symbols represent in situ stresses measured by Ogasawara et al. (2013, 2014b) at 104 level at Tau Tona Mine (L104) near damage caused by an M$_{L}$1.5 event (see inset photo). Three BX CCBO and one CSIRO measurements were carried out at different distances from the tunnel and hole inclinations. (b) Locations of in situ measurements (modified from Ogasawara et al. 2014b)

2.3 Comparison of elastic stress modelling with in situ strain monitoring

Several BX CCBO measurements were carried out at a site on 104 level at Tau Tona Mine (L104 in Figure 2) near damage caused by an M$_{L}$1.5 seismic event. Breakouts prevented us from measuring stress in the first hole. A second hole (L104 H2) was drilled with a different bearing, and very high stress was measured by the BX CCBO method at a distance of 5 m (c.f. a section of the tunnel was about 3.5 × 3.5 m). As the shallow measurement depth was suspected to cause the high stress, two more measurements were carried out by the BX CCBO and CSIRO methods (CSIRO and L104 H5 in Figure 3) at significantly larger depths in holes with the same bearings and significantly larger inclination, but the collars just 2 m from Hole 2 collar, and an additional BX CCBO measurement was made several tens of metres from Hole 2. Figure 3 shows a plot of the measured principal stresses against the elastically modelled stress. Except for one measurement of maximum principal stress (Sigma 1 for 104 H2 in Figure 3), all the measurements were in good agreement with the modelled stress, although most Sigma 3 values are slightly smaller than expected.

2.4 In situ stress measurement in a 1 km deep shaft pillar at Ezulwini Mine

BX CCBO measurements were carried out in the centre of the eastern part at a shaft pillar at 1 km depth, which remained after the completion of the extraction of the western part of the shaft pillar. The direction of the hole was carefully determined (35 degree up and almost normal to the reef). Minor breakouts were observed on the sidewall, but no breakout or discing at the conical-end of the borehole. The strain relief curves were smooth, suggesting that there was no inelastic behaviour during overcoring. The stress thus determined was found to be as high as the stress in virgin ground at a depth of 3 km or more, as shown in
Figure 2(a). The acoustic emission activity observed during the extraction of the western part of the shaft pillar will be detailed in Section 3.2.

Figure 3 In situ measured stress versus the modelled stress (Ogasawara et al. 2014b)

2.5 Stress at hypocentres
As detailed in Section 3.1.2, using the NELSAM assumption (solid lines in Figure 2), Hofmann et al. (2012) confirmed that the modelled fault ride is in good agreement with the rupture plane that was accurately delineated by a local AE network. Hofmann et al. (2012) also investigated the stress at the hypocentre of an M_l2.1 event. Hofmann et al. (2013) estimated the stress for seven earthquakes (M_l = 2.9-4) using the modified NELSAM assumption as a constraint (dashed lines for Sigma 2 and 3). Sigma 1 was found to range between 100 and 177 MPa.

Therefore, the 128 and 146 MPa measured at Ezulwini and Tau Tona, respectively, is in the range of stress at the above hypocentres. This confirms that stress measurements at hypocentres are possible by the BX CCBO method if the hole direction is carefully chosen.

3 Acoustic emission monitoring and associated studies

3.1 Japanese–German Underground Acoustic Research in South Africa (JAGUARS) and subsequent associated researches

3.1.1 AE network and a very close M_l2.1 event
Nakatani et al. (2008) deployed a network consisting of eight AE sensors to monitor the microfracturing activity in a volume of about 300 × 300 × 300 m^3 beneath a dip pillar containing a 30 m thick dyke at 3.3 km depth at Mponeng Mine (JAGUARS in Figures 2(b) and 4(b)). The AE sensors, which were installed in boreholes drilled from a horizontal tunnel intersecting the dyke, had a response up to 200 kHz, and could detect events down to M_w = -4.4 (Kwiatek et al. 2010). A triaxial accelerometer with flat response up to 25 kHz was installed to calibrate the non-flat frequency characteristics of the AE sensors, allowing moment magnitudes to be determined and self-similarity to be studied. In situ measurement of Vp and Vs confirmed that the dyke was stiffer than the host rock (Naоi et al. 2008). Due to the mining of the thin tabular gold reef dipping about 20° to the south-southeast 90 m above the network, significant seismicity was induced, which included an M_l2.1 event just above the network on 27 December 2007 (M_w1.9 by the in-mine seismic system and referred in Yabe et al. (2009) and Kwiatek et al. (2010, 2011); M_w2.2 in Naоi et al. (2011)). An abrupt increase in AE activity produced more than 20,000 events in the 150 hours following the M_l2.1 event, delineating the planar rupture plane of the M_l2.1 event (see a line intersecting the dyke obliquely in
Figures 4 and 5(a) as well as activity around the tunnel, ahead of the closest mining face, and other regions. The planar AE distribution was consistent with the fault mechanism that Naoi et al. (2011) determined by Centroid Moment Tensor analysis. The details on the microfracturing characteristics and statistics of microfracturing in space and time, and the implications for self-similarity in source parameters and other observations have been discussed and documented in detail by Yabe et al. (2009), Naoi et al. (2011), Kwiatek et al. (2010, 2011), and Plenkers et al. (2010, 2011).

Here we summarise the results of our subsequent studies, which include a comparison of the M_{2.1} rupture with numerically-modelled fault ride assuming peak and residual strength (Hofmann et al. 2012) and the drilling of a borehole into the M_{2.1} hypocentre (an arrow in Figures 4 and 5a) to constrain stress by analysing borehole breakout and core discing (Yabe et al. 2013; Figure 5). Taking these into account, Ogasawara et al. (2014a) discussed the recordings of two borehole strainmeters that had been installed in 10 m holes drilled into the dyke and the host rock (Sd and Sh in Figures 4 and 5(a), respectively) from the crosscut tunnel several months prior to the M_{2.1} event, which were sensitive enough to detect earth tides and have a capacity of up to a thousand micro strain (corresponding to stress change of about 70 MPa).

### 3.1.2 Stress and strength on the M_{2.1} fault

Hofmann et al. (2012) made use of Map3D (Wiles 2010) to model the time evolution of stress and strain due to mining, searching for peak and residual strengths on a displacement discontinuity plane (a line obliquely intersecting the dyke in Figures 4 and 5a) that coincided with the M_{2.1} rupture plane so that a fault ride corresponding to the seismically-determined seismic moment occurred after the completion of the mining step of December 2007. An in situ stress measurement 2 km to the west of the dyke at 3.4 km depth with little geological or mining disturbance (L120 in Figures 2 and 3) confirmed that the initial stress assumed in Hofmann et al. (2012) was acceptable. Figure 4 shows the maximum shear stress after the completion of the mining step and the occurrence of the ride corresponding to the M_{2.1} event. The following were confirmed:

- Stress inside the dyke increased.
- The M_{2.1} rupture plane was located in the area with the largest Excess Shear Stress (ESS).
- The peak and residual strength parameters (cohesions = 12.2 and 0 MPa, and friction angles of 25 and 28.5°, respectively) determined by Hofmann et al. (2012) were consistent with previous studies by Hofmann and Murphy (2007), and Hofmann and Scheepers (2010), which had remained unconfirmed because of the limited accuracy in hypocentre location of the in-mine seismic system.

In summary, Hofmann et al. (2012) and Yabe et al. (2013) found:

- The stress increased suddenly inside the dyke, with an additional increase on the hanging wall side of the M_{2.1} rupture plane (Figures 4 and 5).
- The direction of the principal stress was consistent with the faulting associated with the M_{2.1} event.

The magnitude of the stresses constrained by Yabe et al. (2013) were larger than those estimated by Hofmann et al. (2012).

The loading (strain change) that Ogasawara et al. (2014a) monitored in situ was also significantly larger than those modelled elastically by Hoffman et al. (2012). It is known that elastic stress modelling cannot reproduce inelastic relaxation just ahead of the edges of tabular stopes (note unrealistically high stress ahead of the stope edge in Figures 4 and 5(a)) or the effect of time-dependent closure of tabular stopes. Ogasawara et al. (2014a) attempted to add a forced stope closure in the Map3D model to reproduce the effect of time-dependent stope closure in an elastic volume outside the inelastic area. The magnitude of strain change was then successfully taken into account.
The number of localities where Yabe et al. (2013) and Ogasawara et al. (2014a) could study stress and deformation was limited: stress at twelve depths along a hole (an arrow in Figures 4 and 5(a)) and strain in two boreholes (Sd and Sh in Figures 4 and 5(a)), respectively. Therefore, it is desirable to increase the number of in situ data to further discuss heterogeneity or accuracy of modelling of stress and strength in seismogenic volume.

Figure 4 Perspective views towards the NNW (up-dip direction of the thin tabular stope) and SSE (down-dip direction) to illustrate the configuration of the horizontal crosscut tunnels (45 and 46), thin tabular stopes dipping SSE, an area where eight AE sensors were installed by Nakatani et al. (2008), two strainmeters (Sd and Sh), and a 90 m hole intersecting the Ml2.1 hypocentre (a dotted line with an arrow). Also shown is the distribution of maximum shear stress just after the completion of the mining step in December 2007 when the Ml2.1 took place. A line represents a plane of displacement discontinuity elements on the Ml2.1 rupture plane that Hofmann et al. (2012) specified to calculate stress and ride

Figure 5 (a) stress estimated by Hofmann et al. (2012); and (b) the maximum principal stress Yabe et al. (2013) constrained on the plane perpendicular to the axis of the hole intersecting the Ml2.1 hypocentre (an arrow)

3.1.3 Comparison with laboratory experiment

Satoh et al. (2013) conducted a laboratory triaxial compression experiment on a cylindrical gabbro sample (4 cm diameter and 10 cm length) collected from the dyke. Figure 6 shows the AE distributions (vertical section) for (a) the Ml2.1 aftershocks (Naoi et al. 2011), and (b) the core just prior the final failure (Satoh et al. 2013). Very interestingly, the angles of the fracture planes were almost identical. Some other important
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information is summarised in Table 1, which will allow us to discuss scale dependency and the inherent difference between natural faulting and laboratory rock fracturing.

![Graph](image1)

![Graph](image2)

Figure 6 Comparison of ruptures at field and laboratory scales. See also Table 1. (a) $M_{L2.1}$ rupture in the dyke (Naoi et al. 2011); and (b) laboratory rupture (modified from Satoh et al. 2013)

Table 1 Comparison between the gabbro ruptures in the $M_{L2.1}$ and laboratory scales

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>~100 m scale ($M_{L2.1}$ at Mponeng) (Naoi et al. 2011)</th>
<th>4 cm diameter × 10 cm length (in lab) (Satoh et al. 2013)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Rock</strong></td>
<td>Dyke (gabbro)</td>
<td>Intact gabbro collected in the dyke</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Loading</strong></td>
<td>~1 micro strain /day</td>
<td>~1 micro strain / s</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Nucleation size</strong></td>
<td>Several tens of m</td>
<td>2 × 5 cm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>AE just prior to the final failure</strong></td>
<td>Apparently no acceleration as a whole</td>
<td>Accelerated as often seen in lab experiments</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Final fracture</strong></td>
<td>Almost identical angle to each other</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Strength</strong></td>
<td>~160 MPa calculated for 75 MPa confining pressure based on the strength Hofmann et al. (2012) constrained for the $M_{L2.1}$ fault</td>
<td>~600 MPa measured in laboratory under 75 MPa confining pressure</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Stress drop</strong></td>
<td>3 MPa (Naoi et al. 2011)</td>
<td>600 MPa</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3.2 Enhanced AE network in a shaft pillar at Ezulwini Mine

The response of the rock mass to mining has been monitored in a multidisciplinary experiment at Ezulwini Mine in the shaft pillar (a circular white area of about 500 m (east–west) × 400 m (north–south) in Figure 7(a); Nakatani 2013). Although the depth of the pillar is only 1 km from surface, high stress is expected due to the mining prior to 2001 as shown in dark blue in Figure 7(a). About 30 holes with a total length exceeding 1 km were drilled to locate the target fault and install sensors. Nakatani (2013) installed 28 AE sensors in a three-dimensional volume with an extent of 100 m (north–south) × 50 m (east–west) × 30 m (depth). The frequency band of response of the AE sensors was only up to 50 kHz, which is much lower than AE sensors used in the JAGUARS project (200 kHz), but the sensors could detect events down to $M_w$-5. The A/D rate was 500 kHz, allowing precise location.

The events recorded were too numerous to process manually. Automatic travel-time detection software developed by Home Seismometer Corp., Japan (Horiuchi et al. 2011) was used. The method first picks several candidates for the arrival time of each P and S-wave by gradually lifting threshold levels. The method then characterises the ground motion that follow each candidate arrival time, in order to
distinguish between direct seismic phases (P and associated S-waves) that can be used to determine the hypocenter of an earthquake or fracture in the rock; or ground motion produced by noise, or refracted, reflected or surface waves, which must be excluded. The characterisation parameters include the predominant frequency, the ratio between the amplitudes of the horizontal and vertical components, the time differences among the candidate arrivals, the S/N ratio, and the ratio of the amplitude of the candidate phase to the maximum amplitude in the period after the P or S-arrivals. It then uses an evaluation function, based on seismological knowledge, to select the best-fitting P and S-arrivals amongst the candidates. The initial and threshold values in the evaluation equations are empirically determined. An iterative process is used to minimise the differences between about 10,000 manual P and S-arrival picks and picks determined by the evaluation function.

Not only was distinctive AE activity ahead of the mining face observed, but also planar AE clusters were also delineated, as shown in Figure 7(b).

To correct the non-flat frequency characteristics of the AE sensors, three 25 kHz triaxial accelerometers were also installed, allowing the seismic moment of the AE events to be determined. Naoi et al. (2013) confirmed that the $b$-value for the AE events ($M_w = -3.7$ to 0) ahead of stopes is about 1.2 (Figure 8). This contradicts the suggestion by Richardson and Jordan (2002) that new fractures generated by blasting perturb the size distribution of background events. Richardson and Jordan (2002) analysed a large number of in-mine seismic data that covered the entire mines. However, the location accuracy and detection limit of the data were not uniform over the mines. In addition, the location accuracy was much lower and the detection threshold much higher than for the AE data Naoi et al. (2013) analysed.

Moriya et al. (2012, 2013) attempted to delineate the AE structures more finely (Figure 9) by using the Joint Hypocenter Determination (JHD) and the Collapsing methods (Jones & Stewart 1997). The typical geological perception of fracturing around a stope is well illustrated, e.g. Chamber of Mines Research Organization 1988. However, because time evolution can be tracked in AE data, the forthcoming AE research will allow us to describe, in detail, the time evolution of fracturing and stress due to mining.
Figure 8 Frequency plotted versus moment magnitude of AEs and seismic events at Ezulwini Mine (Naoi et al. 2013). Solid squares represent AEs just ahead of a stope panel during non-blasting hours. Small solid triangles represent raw data without correction for underestimation. Open squares represent seismic events detected by the in-mine seismic system over the entire mine.

Figure 9 (a) vertical sectional view of AE source locations by the JHD method; and (b) the collapsing method (Moriya et al. 2013)
4 Enhanced national surface seismograph network

The CGS now operates three dense strong motion seismograph surface networks in the Klerksdorp (KO in Figure 10), Far West Rand (FW in Figure 10) and Central Rand (SW in Figure 10) mining areas of South Africa. A total of 52 seismograph stations were installed. A modern data acquisition system was introduced that improves data acquisition and processing and also provides automatic seismic event location. The locations produced by this system were not initially very useful. Recently, an improved automatic event location algorithm by Horiuchi et al. (2011) was introduced, producing very good clustering of seismic events within mining boundaries without the problem of spurious and false locations (Figure 10(b)). Data recorded for the month of March 2014 were plotted to compare the current and new automatic location capabilities.

The results from the improved automatic event location algorithm by Horiuchi et al. (2011) were very pleasing and will assist in the manual picking of events. The original data acquisition system is constantly being improved. The CGS has changed operating systems from Macintosh to Linux and methods to improve data extraction were developed. Work on the routine processing of spectral parameters has produced results and work on producing moment tensor solutions from data in the Central Rand is underway.

![Figure 10](image1.png)

(a)

![Figure 10](image2.png)

(b)

Figure 10  (a) comparison of automatic locations for the month of March 2014 with the current system; and (b) with the algorithm of Horiuchi et al. (2011)

The observed ground motion generated by induced earthquakes is affected by the source, travel path, and local site conditions. The attenuation of the P and S-waves is estimated by using the coda normalisation methods (Aki 1980; Chapter 3.3 in Sato et al. 2012). The method was chosen as estimation of the
\[ Q(f) = Q_0 f^n \] is not affected by seismic source parameters and site effect, where the values of \('Q_0', and \('n'\) are estimated using linear regression. The coda normalisation method was extended to include optimal parameters of the geometrical spreading with piecewise segments. To be able to estimate spectral parameters in an automatic and robust way the observed spectra after correction for the path and site effect are processed using the integrals of Andrews (1986) for each event spectrum.

The developed program calculates the following parameters of the seismic source: scalar seismic moment, \( M_w \) magnitude, radiated seismic energy, corner frequency, source radius, apparent stress and static stress drop. The windows with the P-wave and S-wave groups are automatically extracted for all suitable waveforms to provide robust estimation of seismic source parameters. The results of routine processing indicate that the reliable estimation of source parameters of earthquakes can be achieved for distances of less than 80 km between seismic source and stations. A catalogue of almost 1,000 earthquakes was created and the spectral parameters of the events were estimated. Scalar seismic moment varied from \(10^{10}\) to \(10^{14}\) Nm. The range of SV–wave energy is from \(10^3\) to \(10^9\) J. The static stress drops calculated from S-waves vary between 0.02 to 35 MPa. The relationships between stress drop and scalar seismic moment undoubtedly show that the stress drop increases with seismic moment. Nevertheless, the scattering of the static stress drop or apparent stress drop around a fixed seismic moment spans roughly 1.5–2 orders of magnitude.

5 Conclusion

This paper reviewed the outcomes of the Japanese–South African collaborative research, highlighting stress measurements, AE and strain monitoring, laboratory experiments and the enhanced CGS’s surface South African National Seismograph Network.

BX CCBO in situ stress measurements have been carried out at seven sites at depths between 1 and 3.4 km below surface in South African gold mines. The measured \(\sigma_1\) ranges between 80 and 146 MPa. Those were significantly beyond the ranges of depth from surface and stress in previously published measurement results in South Africa. The BX CCBO technique proved suitable for adverse conditions in deep and highly stressed South African gold mines where only small exploration drilling machines are available. The in situ measured stresses confirmed that the assumption of a far-field (initial) stress gradient was acceptable with only slight modifications. An improved estimation of the far-field stress gradient allowed us to better constrain both the stress and strength of the earthquake faults of \(M_L = 2.1\) to 4, with \(\sigma_1\) ranging between 100 and 177 MPa. The stress range was also confirmed by analysing breakouts and core discings in a hole drilled through a \(M_L2.1\) hypocentre. At one site where \(\sigma_1\) was \(\sim 110\) MPa, BX CCBO and CSIRO HI cell measurements were compared and good agreement between the two measuring techniques was found. However, the comparison identified a small problem with the BX CCBO system, which will subsequently be addressed.

Twelve Ishii strainmeters, which are much more sensitive and stable over time than civil engineering strainmeters, were installed in five gold mines below pillars in a rock mass that behaves elastically where the rock mass responds elastically to mining. Comparisons between the site recordings and elastic boundary element simulations allowed us to evaluate the inelastic rock mass effects on stress evolution beneath the pillars associated with mining.

Several tens of AE sensors were deployed to monitor 3D microfracturing induced by the mining of a shaft pillar in a volume of a few hundred metres in size. The AE network detected and accurately located at least 300 times more events than the in-mine seismic system. The AE monitoring delineated multiple planar structure of activity. Several triaxial accelerometers allowed the calibration of AE sensors and the determination of moment magnitude. The b value for the cluster thus obtained just ahead of a stope panel was 1.2, which contradicted a suggestion by Richardson and Jordan (2002) that new fractures generated by blasting disturb the size distribution of background events.
Lab experiments are being carried out in association with the above activities on a core sample collected near the hypocentre of the M2.1 event. This produced interesting results that are relevant to studies of the scale dependency in fracturing.

CGS deployed three dense strong motion seismograph surface networks to record strong motions fully that are clipped with the geophones that are typically used by in-mine networks. An improved automatic event location algorithm by Horiuchi et al. (2011) was introduced, producing very good clustering of seismic events within mining boundaries without the problem of spurious and false locations. The results were very pleasing and will assist in the manual picking of events. The results of routine processing of spectral parameters indicate that the reliable estimation of source parameters of earthquakes can be achieved for distances of less than 80 km between seismic source and stations.
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