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Abstract

This paper outlines a new methodology for modelling caveability and subsidence using bi-directional coupling between the continuum code FLAC3D and the cellular automata code CAVESIM. FLAC3D, using the CaveHoek constitutive model, simulates the progressive failure and disintegration of the rock mass from an intact/jointed to a caved material. CAVESIM simulates gravity flow, in particular the collapse, bulking and movement of caved rock. The coupled method captures many important aspects of caveability affecting cave design such as hang-up formation, material recovery, timing of surface breakthrough or interaction with other lifts, crater development, and surface subsidence. The key to improved modelling of many of these aspects is the ability to accurately capture the impact of draw and gravity flow on cave propagation and subsidence.
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1 Introduction

Caveability affects many important aspects of cave design such as hang-up formation, material recovery, timing of surface breakthrough or interaction with other lifts, crater development, and surface subsidence. Prediction of caveability and subsidence is undertaken with empirical and numerical methods. Accurate simulation of the caving process is complex, due to the wide range of mechanisms to be captured including stress redistribution around the cave, rock mass failure in advance of the cave, reduction in strength from peak to residual, dilation and bulking, material flow in the cave column, crater development, and slope failure. The key to improved modelling of many of these mechanisms is capturing the impact of draw and gravity flow on cave propagation.

As part of the Mass Mining Technology (MMT) project and over the past 20 years, an approach to estimating rock mass material properties and simulating cave initiation and propagation has been developed. This paper introduces new developments in the modelling method, whereby gravity flow in the cave column is accounted for using a coupling technique between the finite difference program FLAC3D and the cellular automata program CAVESIM. This allows for an improved representation of the impact of gravity flow and production schedule on caveability and subsidence. In addition, the coupling technique combined with new free rilling logic in CAVESIM has led to a new and improved way to estimate crater development.

2 Conceptual model of caving

In developing and interpreting numerical simulations of caving, it is useful to refer to a conceptual model of the cave. The conceptual model of Duplancic and Brady (1999) has been employed to-date within the International Caving Study (ICS) and MMT projects. In this model, a propagating cave consists of five main behavioural regions as described below and illustrated in Figure 1(a).

The characteristics of each region are defined as follows:

- *Pseudo-continuous domain or elastic region*: The host rock mass around the caving region behaves mainly elastically and has properties consistent with an ‘undisturbed’ rock mass.
- **Seismogenic zone**: Microseismic (and sometimes seismic) activity is concentrated in this region primarily due to slipping along pre-existing discontinuities and the initiation of new fractures.

- **Zone of loosening or yielded zone**: The rock mass in this region is fractured and has lost some or all of its cohesive strength and provides minimal support to the overlying rock mass. Rock mass within the yielded zone is subject to significant damage, i.e. open holes are cut off, time-domain reflectometer (TDR) breakages are expected, and cracking is observable in infrastructure. Stress components within this region are typically low in magnitude.

- **Airgap**

- **Caved zone or mobilised zone**: This zone gives an estimate as to the portion of the orebody that has moved in response to the production draw, and may be recoverable.

When considering surface subsidence, different terminology is often adopted. A review of large-scale surface disturbances from block and panel caving mines was conducted by Lupo (1998), who found that the primary surface features that develop as a result of block and panel caving include one or more of the following zones:

- A caved rock zone (crater).
- A large-scale surface cracking (fractured) zone.
- A small-scale surface displacement (continuous surface subsidence) zone.
- A stable zone.

Van As et al. (2003) proposed the terminology presented in Figure 1(b) to standardise the description of subsidence features related to block and panel caving.

![Figure 1](image)

**Figure 1**  (a) Main behavioural regions of a propagating cave (after Duplancic & Brady 1999); and, (b) Terminology used to describe subsidence features for block and panel cave mines (after Van As et al. 2003)

### 3  Numerical model of caving

#### 3.1  Critical factors influencing caveability

Pierce (2010) has defined six critical factors that impact the caveability of a rock mass. They are presented schematically in Figure 2 and include:

- **Cohesion and tension weakening**: During caving, rock mass undergoes a reduction in strength from its peak in situ value to a much lower residual value representative of a caved rock mass state. This
overall response is often termed a strain-softening process and is the result of strain-dependent material properties.

- **Post-peak brittleness:** The rate at which the strength drops from peak to residual is referred to as brittleness. Rocks that maintain their peak strength with continued loading are referred to as perfectly plastic (ductile). Rock masses that instantaneously drop to residual strength properties when they exceed their peak strength are referred to as perfectly brittle. In general, brittle rock masses cave more readily than more ductile rock masses.

- **Modulus softening:** During caving, the rock mass increases in volume as intact rock blocks fracture, separate and rotate during the yielding and mobilisation process. Along with this bulking, a reduction in modulus is expected to occur. Representation of the decrease in stiffness is crucial for assessing the evolving stress state around the cave, since, as rock mass dilates/bulks, its potential to carry stress decreases.

- **Dilatational behaviour:** Dilation is the change in volume of a rock that occurs with shear distortion. An accurate assessment and representation of the dilational behaviour of a jointed rock mass during caving is essential in being able to accurately predict the correct volume increase during plastic deformation. This will affect mass balance calculations and impact propagation through confinement levels.

- **Rock mass structure (faults, joints):** The influence of geological structures on subsidence and caving is recognised to be important. The presence of a steeply dipping fault can terminate the angle of draw short of its normal value. If a gently dipping fault intersects the collapsing rock column, the lateral extent of surface subsidence can increase outward to the place where the fault intersects the ground surface. Using experimental data, Brown and Trollope (1970) demonstrate that even if the rock mass structure and geomechanical properties are well conditioned for slip, the existence of high horizontal in situ stresses can inhibit rock mass failure and, therefore, prevent block caving initiation and development.

- **Production draw schedule:** The rate of draw and shape of the mining footprint has previously been identified by Laubscher (1990, 1994) to impact the caveability of a rock mass.
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**Figure 2** Schematic diagram of six critical factors that impact caveability as defined by Pierce (2010)

The caving algorithm described in this paper captures the effect of all six factors listed above to simulate cave initiation, propagation and surface subsidence.
3.2 Modelling approach

The caving and stress-redistribution processes inherently involve large deformations, shear along pre-existing joints and bedding surfaces, fracturing of intact rock blocks and fragmentation of the rock mass. Ideally, one would model this process using a pure discontinuum approach with explicit breakage of rock blocks. However, the computational size and time requirements to solve mine-scale problems make it currently impossible to tackle the problem completely with the discontinuum approach (Sjöberg et al. 2017). Instead, an algorithm to simulate caving has been developed within the concept of a continuum-based model.

Material flow within the cave as a response to draw is an important aspect of the caving process. The discrete element method (DEM) is by far the most promising and widely used simulation method in granular science. However, it is difficult to apply to mine-scale flow problems at the full resolution of the fragmentation, largely because of computational limitations. Cellular automata (CA) methods are well-suited to extremely large and complex problems in discrete systems. CA permits very large systems to be studied while including a more limited physics. For this reason, CA has gained wide acceptance in science and engineering fields concerned with discrete mechanics.

The caving process described in this paper is represented by a bi-directional coupling method between the continuum code FLAC3D and the CA code CAVESIM:

- FLAC3D is a three-dimensional explicit finite difference program for geotechnical analysis that can simulate the behaviour of continuous materials that undergo plastic flow when their yield limits are reached. Materials are represented by polyhedral elements within a three-dimensional grid. Each element behaves according to a prescribed linear or non-linear stress–strain law in response to applied forces and boundary restraints. The explicit, Lagrangian calculation scheme and the mixed-discretisation zoning technique ensure that plastic collapse and plastic flow are modelled accurately. FLAC3D can also simulate discontinuities such as faults, joints, bedding planes, and engineered boundaries along constructs.

- CAVESIM is a general purpose CA code for the simulation of gravity flow of caved rock in block and sublevel caves. The accurate representation and control of material bulking from the in situ to fully bulked state allow the code to be used for calculation of accumulated airgap volume. In addition, material flow against static faces and around or against disturbing features such as cave sidewalls, overhangs, hang-ups and pendants can be modelled (Figure 3). In this method, the problem space is firstly discretised into a fixed cubical lattice of dimension n.

![Illustration of cave flow modelling using CAVESIM (after Sharrock et al. 2012)](image-url)
3.3 Constitutive model

Within the continuum model, the constitutive relations provide a mathematical description of the rock mass behaviour. As part of the ICS (Lorig 2000) and MMT (Chitombo & Pierce 2012) projects, several guidelines have been developed to capture the key mechanisms associated with caving in a numerical model and are summarised by Pierce (2013). The CaveHoek constitutive model has been developed by Itasca based on these guidelines and aims to simulate the progressive failure and disintegration of the rock mass from an intact/jointed to a caved material.

The peak-strength envelope is described by the Hoek–Brown failure criteria (Hoek et al. 2002). The numerical implementation of the constitutive model uses a linear approximation, whereby the non-linear failure surface is continuously approximated by the Mohr–Coulomb tangent, at the current zone stress level, $\sigma_3$ (Figure 4). The residual strength is typically that of a bulked rockfill (zero cohesion and a friction angle of 40–45°). As the material yields, the strength is degraded by linear interpolation between the linear Mohr–Coulomb fit at peak and residual state based on the current stress state.

![Figure 4](image)

**Figure 4** Rock mass failure envelope and strain-softening behaviour defined in the CaveHoek constitutive model

Accumulated plastic shear strain (more specifically, the second invariant of the deviatoric plastic strain tensor) is a common metric for irreversible shear strains in geomaterials and, in a more general sense, can be considered as a measure of damage. The plastic shear strain required in going from peak to residual strength (critical plastic strain, $\varepsilon_{ps, crit}$) defines the brittleness of the rock mass failure, and impacts both the caveability of a given unit, as well as the rate at which a cave will propagate for a given amount of draw. An estimate of the relation between the critical strain and geological strength index (GSI) was determined by a back-analysis of rock mass failure in caves and other openings (Lorig & Pierce 2000).

$$\varepsilon_{ps, crit} = \left(12.5 - 0.125. GSI\right)/(100. d)$$

where:

$$d = \text{zone size.}$$

During caving, the rock mass increases in volume (or bulks) due to dilation under shear, or due to volumetric expansion under tension. In order to prevent zones from expanding to unrealistic levels, the dilation angle is set to zero when the maximum porosity, i.e. maximum bulking potential, is reached. During bulking, a rock mass is also expected to experience a significant drop in modulus. Representation of this drop is required in order to account for stress shedding away from the cave and into the surrounding rock mass. In addition, it is necessary to allow for modulus hardening that can occur when stresses are shed back onto exhausted or undrawn parts of the cave. The rock mass modulus can be estimated from GSI, and the intact modulus by using the relation developed by Hoek and Diederichs (2006). Based on laboratory testing results, Pappas and Mark (1993) developed a set of equations to calculate the reduction in modulus as a function of the bulking factor, uniaxial compressive strength of the intact rock fragments, and the aspect ratio of the fragments.
3.4 Cellular automata flow simulation

CAVESIM is a multithreaded, general purpose CA code for the simulation of gravity flow of caved rock in cave mines. Coupled flow-deformation simulations often require the study of gravity flow in complex multi-cave mining environments with existing voids, historic excavations such as open pits and open stopes, and complex draw rules or flow behaviour. Within the FLAC3D–CAVESIM coupled methodology, the outcomes of alternative extraction geometries and draw strategies on caveability and subsidence can be assessed. This is achieved through accurate computation and tracking of extracted tonnes, grade, dilution (rock types), bulking, and particle fragmentation. Furthermore, crater slope stability angles can be pre-defined within geotechnical domains. This allows the emergence of craters with different critical state slope angles, which can have a significant impact on subsidence. The accurate representation and control of material bulking from the in situ to fully bulked state allows the code to be used for calculation of accumulated airgap volume and changes in cave geometry, which can be fed into risk assessments and cave geometry estimation processes. In addition, material flow against static faces and around or against disturbing features such as cave sidewalls, overhangs, hang-ups and pendants can be modelled. CAVESIM can be used to construct residual block models of caved material for use in multi-cave analyses or grade reconciliations.

Methods have been developed to interpolate block model grades into CAVESIM simulations, providing a realistic spatial distribution of grade as an initial simulation condition. At the commencement of each simulation, every particle is assigned a grade, which is then integrated at the instant of drawing to generate an estimate of dilution and grade at each drawpoint. CAVESIM has two analytical engines (stochastic and Newtonian), both based on the fundamental lattice cellular automaton concept first developed by John von Neumann in 1947 (von Neumann 1966).

The stochastic engine treats gravity flow as a stochastic process; that is, “a process that can be described by a random variable that depends on some stochastic parameter which may be discrete or continuous” (Borowski & Borwein 1991). The stochastic parameter is “the probability of downward propagation of a particle”, or, “upward propagation of a void”. Examples include Nilsson (1988), Bergmark (1975), and Heden (1976). The physics of granular flow are almost completely ignored in stochastic methods (Nedderman 1992). For example, fundamental physical laws such as Newton’s laws and cohesive-frictional behaviour are not typically solved in stochastic simulations and particle ‘contacts’ do not explicitly exist. For example, lateral or sideways movement of particles in a stochastic model are often constrained to a fixed direction and governed by simple kinematic or probabilistic rules. Complex emergent flow phenomena such as arching, slow infilling of voids, inrushes and piping cannot be explicitly simulated in stochastic models. However, emulation is possible. The key to stochastic modelling is developing rules that provide valid emulation of physical processes. Care must be taken to stay within the limits of these rules or unrealistic behaviour can result.

In the Newtonian engine, the problem space is firstly discretised into a fixed cubical lattice of dimension L. Traditional approaches to modelling granular media with CA have assumed that particles are perfectly centred on the nodes of a fixed, two-dimensional lattice. This lattice is commonly composed of equilateral triangles, since the distance between each node on such a lattice is the same (Baxter & Behringer 1990). Hence, in these traditional approaches, particles do not undergo smooth translations between lattice nodes, but rather jumps, or step-wise translations. These step-wise translations create difficulties when solving the equations of motion at the lattice nodes. One such difficulty is the possible occurrences of artificial shock waves in the solutions. For this reason, Fox et al. (1994) introduced a method to reduce the problem of stepwise translations, and hence create smoother solutions. In this approach, a particle still occupies a specific node, but the actual location of the centre of mass of a particle is defined by a position offset from that node which can change incrementally with time.
3.5 Simulation of cave initiation and propagation in a continuum model

An early attempt to simulate the caving process using a continuum model was performed by Lorig (2000) as part of the International Caving Study (ICS 1), using a two-dimensional axisymmetric model in FLAC3D (Figure 5(a)). A strain-softening Mohr–Coulomb constitutive model was used to represent the rock mass behaviour, and the extraction process at the undercut level was simulated by reducing the vertical pressure \(p(t)\) at this level (Figure 5(b)). For a specific undercut geometry and rock mass properties, the caveability condition could be assessed by monitoring the cave height with continuous reduction of the undercut support \(p(t)\). Using this approach, the hydraulic radius associated with cave initiation compared well to Laubscher’s empirical cave stability chart over a range of mine rock mass rating (MRMR) values. However, the cave shapes obtained with this method (flat cave back) did not reproduce actual shapes.

![Figure 5](image)

**Figure 5** Schematic representation of (a) The axisymmetric model; and, (b) The evolution of the undercut pressure and cave height with numerical steps (Lorig 2000)

The methodology adopted to simulate the caving process in the continuum model is based on the principle described above, adapted to a full 3D model and modified to include a better representation of the cave propagation phase in order to produce more realistic cave shapes. By monotonically reducing the support pressure at the undercut level, cave initiation occurs when the critical hydraulic radius is reached for the rock mass located immediately above the undercut. During cave propagation, and to simulate the reduction in support provided by the muck pile on the cave back as a result of material flow inside the cave, the vertical pressure at the boundary of the entire cave (Figure 6) is reduced during the simulation.

![Figure 6](image)

**Figure 6** Example of cave boundary identified in the FLAC3D model during cave propagation
Typically, areas of the cave with high flow provide less support to the cave back than static areas with little to no flow. To simulate this effect, a local reduction factor ($RF_{local}$) is applied to the vertical stress at the cave boundary, meaning that the reduction in support pressure is non-uniform along the boundary of the cave (Figure 7). $RF_{local}$ is calculated as a function of the volumetric flow rate provided by the CAVESIM model. Controlling the pressure applied by the caved material on the cave back directly at the cave boundary thus allows for the local effects of flow on cave propagation to be taken into account.

As part of the flow calculations, airgap development is also simulated within the CAVESIM model. In the continuum model, the airgap is simulated by reducing the support pressure provided by the air on the cave back in the same way as described above.

![Figure 7 Illustration of support pressure reduction during the simulation in different areas of the cave boundary, as a function of the volumetric flow rate calculated in CAVESIM](image)

### 3.6 Bi-directional coupling between continuum and flow models

Production advance is simulated in CAVESIM, where material is drawn from the drawpoints based on the input draw schedule. Material flow is simulated within CAVESIM, and the resulting airgap (volume and location) and volumetric flow rate in the cave are communicated to the FLAC3D model. Within FLAC3D, the stress state at the cave boundary is reduced to account for the airgap development and the reduction in support provided by the muck pile during flow. As a result, stress redistribution occurs leading to propagation of the yielded zone in the model. The new caved zone (material at residual strength with a vertical displacement greater than 1 m) is identified and sent to CAVESIM. This cycle is repeated until the end of the mining sequence (Figure 8). Communication between the two codes is performed using socket connections (as used for TCP/IP transmission over the Internet), which allows fast transmission of data in binary with no loss of precision.
Figure 8  Conceptual illustration of the bi-directional coupling method to simulate the caving process

Ideally, the continuum and flow models should be run in parallel and at the same resolution, small enough to capture in detail the different mechanisms associated with both cave propagation and flow. However, the computing intensity required would be too intense to solve real problems in a reasonable amount of time. Typically, a lattice cell size of 1 to 2 m gives a good representation of the flow behaviour using a CA method. However, this resolution is difficult to achieve in continuum models at the mine scale due to the computing intensity required to solve the strain–stress relations. Two simplifications are made in the coupling algorithm to reduce the simulation time.

The mining sequence is divided into periods of time, and communication between the flow and continuum phase occurs at the end of each period. Depending on the accuracy required, time periods ranging from one day to one year can be defined. Back-analysis of actual cave propagation undertaken by Sharrock et al. (2012) using this method indicate that weekly or two-weekly periods provide a good compromise between accuracy and speed. It is noted that time is not simulated explicitly in the models and is only tracked during the simulation as a function of production in CAVESIM. In the continuum model, mass balance calculations are carried out during the simulation to ensure that the cave volume never exceeds the maximum volume allowed for a given extracted mass and bulking factor. During a given period, cave propagation in FLAC3D stops when the maximum cave volume allowed is reached, or when the cave stalls.

To account for the difference in model resolution between the continuum and flow models, a cell mapping technique has been developed whereby each lattice cell within the CAVESIM model is associated to one FLAC3D zone based on its location in space (Figure 9). This methodology allows for improved representation of blocks and faults in the flow phase. The volumetric flow rate in each lattice cell is transmitted to the continuum model and averaged out in each zone.

Figure 9  Conceptual illustration of the cell mapping technique used to associate each lattice cell in the CAVESIM model (right) with each FLAC3D zone (left)
3.7 Surface subsidence

Crater development is simulated using a bi-directional coupling method between CAVESIM and FLAC3D (Figure 10). The free-surface rilling logic in CAVESIM allows a rill slope to form at a pre-defined angle of repose through the avalanching mechanism. This allows for crater development to be simulated within CAVESIM as material is being drawn. The crater shape obtained after each production advance is then initialised within the FLAC3D model in order to assess the stability of the slopes. If a volume of material becomes unstable in the FLAC3D model (due to rock mass failure and/or slipping along a fault), it is released in the CAVESIM model and allowed to flow into the crater.

Figure 10 (a) Conceptual illustration of the CAVESIM–FLAC3D coupling methodology to simulate crater development; (b) Isometric view; and, (c) North–south section of an actual crater geometry obtained using this method

4 Example application

A series of conceptual models have been developed to illustrate the capability of the algorithm to simulate typical behaviours that can be expected during cave propagation. All the models consider a 200 × 200 m footprint located 600 m below the ground surface. The pre-mining state of stress is assumed lithostatic, with a constant ratio of horizontal to vertical stress (K₀ = 2). Three sets of material properties, provided in Figure 11, have been used to represent different material strengths (good, fair and poor rock mass strength). Two production schedules have been developed for the purpose of this exercise. In both cases, production is simulated in weekly increments, and the undercut is opened at a rate of 250 m²/day from the southwest corner of the footprint. During each period, the same mass of material is drawn in both schedules, the only difference being the repartition of draw:

- A uniform draw schedule, whereby each drawpoint is drawn uniformly at a rate of 50 t/day after complete establishment of the undercut.
- A non-uniform draw schedule that emphasises draw in the southwest corner of the footprint.
A summary of the different model permutations is provided in Table 1.

![Figure 11](image)

Figure 11  Rock mass strength and properties adopted to simulate good, fair and poor rock mass strengths

Table 1  Summary of model permutations

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Model 1</th>
<th>Model 2</th>
<th>Model 3</th>
<th>Model 4</th>
<th>Model 5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Production Schedule</td>
<td>Uniform Draw</td>
<td>Non-Uniform Draw</td>
<td>Uniform Draw</td>
<td>Uniform Draw</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ground Surface</td>
<td>Ground Surface</td>
<td>Ground Surface</td>
<td>Ground Surface</td>
<td>Ground Surface</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rock mass strength</td>
<td>Good</td>
<td>Fair</td>
<td>Poor</td>
<td>Good</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Modelling Purpose</td>
<td>Base case scenario</td>
<td>Influence of draw on cave propagation in a uniform material</td>
<td>Hang-up formation</td>
<td>Influence of faults on cave propagation</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure 12 illustrates the evolution of the cave for each model permutation, from cave initiation to surface breakthrough. Figure 13 provides a contour of major principal stress around the cave (output from FLAC3D) and cumulative vertical displacement of the caved material (output from CAVESIM) after 18 months of production on an east–west cross-section through the centre of the cave for all model permutations. In all cases, cave initiation occurs after four months of undercutting, when 72 drawpoints are established (hydraulic radius of 27 m). Following initiation, the caving rate and cave shape varies in each model. Figure 14 illustrates the evolution of the mobilised zone, yielded zone, and seismogenic zone on a vertical cross-section in the early stages of cave propagation for model 5.

**Models 1, 3, and 4; moderate draw ramp-up in fair and poor rock:** Model 1 represents the aim of most caving operations, namely successful caving of the ore column with a broad cave with a fully developed stress arch. The cave shape is initially slightly asymmetric in the fair rock mass, in response to the draw profile and ramp-up starting location. However, the relatively low and uniform draw strategy results in the successful formation of a wide stress arch in the cave crown and vertical caving of the sidewalls with no overhangs. Finally, breakthrough to surface is rapid through poor near-surface materials with surface breakthrough after 130 weeks of production. By contrast, model 3 represents the same draw schedule but with strong rock at the base of the cave (east side) resulting in an ore overhang forming. High stresses develop on the east side of the cave, but are still too low to allow cave propagation in the strong material for the span. The overhang induces faster vertical propagation, cave narrowing and potential stall (or slow caving). The key driver is that the cave propagates at a slower rate than forced by draw, resulting instead in void diffusion to the cave crown. In such a scenario, both the draw schedule and footprint geometry could be altered to either eliminate the overhang, and/or reduce cave narrowing during propagation. The impact of a major fault can be similar to an ore overhang, as demonstrated in model 4. Here, a steeply dipping fault results in local de-stressing of the cave sidewalls and more rapid vertical cave propagation. In such scenarios, substantial rilling of material is indicated by the flow phase, and draw should be reduced under the overhang to prevent void diffusion and dilution ingress.

**Models 2 and 5; aggressive draw ramp-up in fair and poor rock:** In these cases, an aggressive start-up draw strategy leads to rapid cave propagation in the southwest corner of the footprint, where more material is being drawn. In model 2, the cave narrows as it propagates due to the cave sidewalls propagating at a slower rate than forced by draw, resulting instead in void diffusion to the cave crown. As a result of the cave narrowing, cave stall or slow caving could occur if a strong rock mass or a fault is encountered in the cave crown, leading to insufficient span and low crown and sidewall stress states. This example shows the risks of aggressive early draw resulting in cave narrowing and potential stall, or slow caving, and demonstrate the importance of capturing gravity flow in caveability models. Another outcome of the aggressive draw strategy is breakthrough to surface occurs 20 weeks earlier than in the base case scenario. Model 5 shows the impact of an aggressive schedule, combined with an overhang and fault, resulting in the formation of a conical cave geometry with a narrow crown, with high potential for cave stall or slow caving if a strong rock mass or fault is encountered in the cave crown, leading to insufficient span. In this case, surface breakthrough is 40 weeks or 30% earlier than a moderate draw option with no overhangs or faults.

The above examples demonstrate the significant impact of draw and rock mass strength/stress/structure on cave shape and caveability risks such as stall/slow caving, stranded reserves in overhangs, and airgap/airblast risks. In addition, if draw is not adjusted to account for non-vertical cave geometry, the potential exists for ore loss from high levels of internal mixing, promotion of fines or mud ingress, or poor connections with other caves. The coupled method allows assessment of both caveability and flow risks within the same modelling framework.
Figure 12  Cave propagation for all the model permutations
Three-dimensional simulation of cave initiation, propagation and surface subsidence using a coupled finite difference–cellular automata solution
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Figure 13 Contour of major principal stress around the cave and cumulative vertical displacement of the caved material after one year of production on an east–west cross-section through the centre of the cave for all model permutations

Figure 14 Evolution of the mobilised zone (caved rock zone), yielded zone and seismogenic zone in the early stages of cave propagation on a vertical cross-section (model 5)
5 Conclusion

A methodology to simulate cave initiation, propagation, surface subsidence and gravity flow has been developed using a coupled finite difference–cellular automata solution. The algorithm captures important aspects of caveability such as overhang formation, influence of the draw strategy on cave propagation and material recovery, potential for cave stall, airgap development and associated blast risks, timing of surface breakthrough or interaction with other lifts, crater development, and surface subsidence. Capturing the impact of draw and gravity flow on cave propagation and subsidence is the key to improved modelling of these aspects. Mechanisms captured in the algorithm include progressive failure and disintegration of the rock mass from an intact/jointed to a caved material through the CaveHoek material model, complex gravity flow inside the cave with CAVESIM, influence of geological structures, and crater development with FLAC3D. A strength of the method is the assessment of caveability, subsidence and flow within the same modelling framework.
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