2019 status report: Drilling into seismogenic zones of M2.0–M5.5 earthquakes in South African gold mines (DSeis project)
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In 2014, a M5.5 earthquake ruptured the range of depths between 3.5 km and 7 km near Orkney, South Africa. The main and aftershocks were very well monitored in the nearfield by dense, surface, strong motion meters and a dense underground seismic network in the deep gold mines. The mechanism of this M5.5 earthquake was left-lateral strike-slip faulting, differing from typical mining-induced earthquakes with normal-faulting mechanisms on the mining horizons shallower than 3.5 km depth. To understand why such an unusual event took place, the aftershock zone was probed by full-core NQ drilling during 2017-2018, with a total length of about 1.6 km, followed by in-hole geophysical logging, core logging, core testing, and monitoring in the drilled holes. These holes also presented a rare opportunity to investigate deep life. In addition, seismogenic zones of M2–M3 earthquakes were probed on mine horizons that were also very well monitored by acoustic emission networks. This paper reviews the early results of the project.
INTRODUCTION

A better understanding of the seismogenic processes of earthquakes is critical for deep mining and highly stressed ground, as well as disaster mitigation in countries prone to natural earthquakes. Built on the outcomes of the Japanese - South African seismological collaboration since 1992, Japanese and South African researchers and six deep South African gold mines collaborated during 2010–2015 in a multidisciplinary study of the response of the rock mass to mining and mining-induced earthquakes particularly the 2014 M5.5 Orkney earthquake below the mining horizons at the Moab Khotson mine. See Figure 1, Ogasawara et al, 2014a and Durrheim, 2015.

![Figure 1. Schematic illustration of the SATREPS research plan. Jpn: Japanese researchers, CSIR: Council for Scientific and Industrial Research, CGS: Council for Geoscience Ogasawara et al (2014a)](image)

![Figure 2. Comparison of two deep scientific drilling projects. Left: San Andreas Fault Observatory at Depth (SAFOD; modified after Hickman et al(2007). Right: DSeis on the same scale, Ogasawara et al (2017). Spheres: aftershocks of the 2014 Orkney M5.5 earthquake and earthquakes induced on mining horizons at the Moab Khotson mine (data courtesy of AngloGold Ashanti Ltd). Surface larger triangles: Council for Geoscience National Seismograph Network strong motion meters with PPA, G. Smaller triangles at mining depths: in-mine network of accelerometers and 4.5 Hz geophones. Holes were drilled from mining level 95 L, 2.9 km from surface with a rig used for underground routine drilling of geological exploration. Star: A site where normal-faulting stress regime was measured on mining level 98 L, Ogasawara et al (2012)](image)
The research sought to determine how ruptures (Mw>4) evolve in space and time ahead of mining faces at the Cooke 4 mine and a highly stressed dyke at the Mponeng mine (Nakatani et al. 2008), Naoi et al. (2011, 2014, 2015abc) and Yabe et al. (2015), in Figure 1.

Also investigated, was the adaptation and implementation of new core-stress measurement techniques that are easier to apply. One of these is the down-sized compact conical-ended borehole overcoring (CCBO) technique, Sugawara and Obara (1999), which enhances the calibration of stress modelling Ogasawara et al. (2012, 2014b); and Hofmann et al. (2013), and improves the understanding of stress states in earthquake-prone ground. As Yabe et al. (2019) detail, additional core-stress-measurements deformation rate analysis (DRA; Yamamoto, 2009) and diametrical core deformation analysis (DCDA; Funato and Ito, 2017) were carried out on the dyke core samples, resulting in much better constraints on the stress state in the seismogenic zone. The CCBO stress-measurement technique proved the existence of a normal-faulting stress regime at mining horizons. See star in Figure 2, Ogasawara et al. (2012).

Enhancement of the Council for Geoscience (CGS) National Seismograph Network with the deployment of surface strong motion meters in gold mining districts, was included in the study. This national network was enhanced with 15 strong motion meters within a radius of 25 km in the Klerksdorp district, which could record the Orkney M5.5 earthquake on 5 August 2014, and the aftershocks, Midzi et al. (2015). Together with the strong motion data, 46 in-mine seismic sensors, mainly geophones, installed at depths of 2 km - 3 km at distances of 3 km - 8 km from the M5.5 hypocentre, allowed detailed investigation of the event (Moyer et al. 2017), Imanishi et al. (2017), Mori et al. (2018) and Manzunzu et al. (2017), Figure 2). This M5.5 earthquake was atypical because the mechanism was strike-slip faulting instead of typical normal-faulting, and it took place at a depth significantly greater than the mining horizons in a normal-faulting stress regime. No significant geological structures other than a dyke only 2 m - 3 m thick was mapped on the mining horizons above the nearly vertical planar distribution of the aftershocks.

Seismogenic zones with different sizes provide unique targets for scientific drilling to better understand (1) what controls seismogenic processes, (2) how they scale, and (3) how faults evolve. In August 2016, the International Continental Scientific Drilling Program (ICDP) approved the project Drilling into M2.0–M5.5 earthquakes in South African gold mines (DSeis). The drilling targets originally included the seismogenic zones at the Moab Khotsong mine and the Cooke 4 mine, as well as a seismogenic zone at a dyke at the Savuka mine that was responsible for a M3.5 earthquake, van Aswegen (2017). The DSeis drilling commenced in June 2017, Voosen (2017) and was completed in June 2018. Because of mine closure in 2016 at the Cooke 4 mine, only limited ICDP DSeis drilling could be carried out at the mine. However, ruptures were recovered with a triple-tube, Wechsler et al. (2018) and Mngadi et al. (2019). The Savuka mine was closed in 2017 resulting in the cancellation of the ICDP DSeis drilling. However, a pilot project supported by the Savuka mine with significant outcomes detailed by Yabe et al. (2019; this conference), was completed.

In the following sections, the drilling into the M5.5 seismogenic zone below the Moab Khotsong mine is described in detail.

THE 2014 ORKNEY M5.5 EARTHQUAKE

The 2014 M5.5 Orkney earthquake took place in metamorphosed sedimentary and volcanic rocks in the 2.9 Ga West Rand Group, which is overlain by the 2.8Ga – 2.9 Ga Central Rand Group that hosts the Vaal Reef ore body, Catuneanu and Biddulph (2001). The dense in-mine geophone network, deployed to the south of the hypocentre of the Orkney M5.5 earthquake, delineated a nearly vertically-dipping planar distribution of aftershocks (See Figure 2). The CGS surface strong motion network covers the areas both to the south and north of the epicentre, allowing the locating of aftershocks to the north of the epicentre and investigation the rupture process of the M5.5 mainshock, Ellsworth et al (2017),
Moyer et al (2017) and Mori et al (2018). The deepest mining horizons are 3 km below surface, and the upper fringe of the aftershock zone several hundreds of metres below them, which is within the range of the hydraulic drilling rigs that are used for routine mine exploration and cover drilling (pilot drilling in advance of tunnel development).

**ICDP DSEIS DRILLING AND ASSOCIATED INVESTIGATION**

A location was found in the local-tension (T) quadrant of the M5.5 strike slip fault, which was suitable for drilling into the seismogenic zone sub-parallel to the expected near-horizontal direction of the maximum principal stress to minimize borehole breakout or core discing. A chamber of 6 m wide x 6 m wide x 6 m high (the largest height the mine safety regulation allows) was constructed by blasting the rock mass. The drilling plunged at 35º–45º downward to intersect beddings at higher angles. NQ diameter drilling was selected, i.e., the minimum diameter that allows borehole geophysical logging. A 3 m long double-tube core barrel was used for full-core recovery for most of the drilling.

Hole A drilling started in June 2017. Hole A deviated from its planned trajectory to run eventually sub-parallel and roughly 100 m from the aftershock zone, traversing the periphery of the M5.5 aftershock zone for a distance of several hundred metres. As Hole A did not intersect the M5.5 fault, drilling was terminated at 817 m drilled length (See Figure 3). However, Hole A was stable enough to conduct borehole logging throughout the hole. Core recovery was almost 100% from metasiltstones and quartzites of the Upper Roodepoort Formation down to quartzite of the Babrosco Formation of the West Rand Group. Six mafic intrusives (sills) and metabasalt of the Crown Formation were also intersected, Ziegler et al (2018). As Yabe et al (2019, this conference) report, stress concentration was found at the intrusive at a distance of about 440 m along Hole A from the borehole collar. Another stress concentration was found at a depth of the upper fringe of the aftershock zone.

![Figure 3. Configuration of the aftershocks of the M5.5 earthquake, Holes A and B, in-mine seismometers, and haulage horizontal tunnels on 95 level. (a): plan view. (b) and (c): vertical sectional views in parallel to and normal to the planar after-shock distribution, respectively - modified after Ziegler et al (2018). Each seismometer is centred on each triangle with 200 m side length. Hole A deflected too much to intersect the aftershock zone, while, Hole B intersected a fracture zone with ~3 m core loss.](image)

The drilling rig was swung by 15º to drill Hole B (See Figure 3). Hole B intersected the same formations as Hole A until we intersected an intrusive (Not seen in Hole A), followed by an approximately 3 m long core-loss zone in Hole B, beginning at 612 m drilled length from the borehole collar. Drillers reported water loss and a methane pocket. Drilling was stopped when relatively intact quartzite was intersected in the Babrosco Formation at 700 m. As the hole was unstable at the intersection of the core-loss zone, borehole geophysical logging could not be conducted at and beyond the fault intersection.
Rickenbacher (2018) and Ziegler et al (2018) compiled drilling information, borehole logs and core geology, and measured the mechanical properties of representative metasedimentary and intrusive rocks for Hole A and Hole B. An intrusive was found that was different from the others near the core-loss zone. Around the core loss zone, Kaneki et al (2018) found talc and biotite, which are known to be materials with low friction and often characterise seismogenic processes, such as slip-weakening or slip-strengthening, Moore and Lockner (2004), and Moore and Michael (2007). Amorphous sub-micron material was also found, suggesting the rupturing is not older than several hundreds of years, Hirono et al (2016). It is believed the core-loss zone corresponds to the pre-existing geological structure that was responsible for the M5.5 earthquake.

In order to double-check and recover more fault material, a branch hole (Hole C) was drilled from 545 m distance from the collar of Hole B with a deviation of about 2°-3° from Hole B. At the fault intersection, a 1.5 m long triple-tube core-barrel was used to give a much better recovery of the fault zone. Hole C drilling was terminated when the Babrocco quartzite was reached.

The entire core was transported from the mine to the Mandela Mining Precinct, CSIR, Johannesburg, with sufficient space to lay out all the core for systematic study. The lithology sequence was found to be consistent between Hole A, Hole B, and Hole C. The team from the University of the Witwatersrand optically scanned the cylindrical surface of the entire core to provide unrolled 360° images with a DMT CoreScan3 optical scanner provided by ICDP.
WATER AND GAS MONITORING

The geomicrobiology team headed by T.C. Onstott, one of the co-authors, installed a packer with downhole pressure and temperature transducers at a fissure zone in a mafic intrusive at 420 m depth in Hole A, and measured the water pressure at approximately about 10 MPa, Rusley et al (2018). The sampled water was hypersaline brine, quite different from the water in the near-surface dolomite formation sampled at 1200 m depth in the shaft.

The geomicrobiologists also searched for hypersaline brine at the other localities on mining horizons, finding brine at a geology hole drilled from 101L and on other haulages. The team from the German Research Centre for Geosciences (GFZ Potsdam) started gas monitoring in Hole A and Hole B, Wiersberg et al (2019).

LEGACY SEISMIC REFLECTION EXPLORATION DATA

Two-dimensional seismic reflection data that AngloGold Ashanti acquired in 1992, was re-processed and interpreted, Ogasawara et al (2017). It was confirmed that the 2014 Orkney earthquake ruptured the entire West Rand Group on a sub-vertical structure that was potentially responsible for the M5.5 earthquake (See Figure 4). As the area of interest is geologically too complex to be delineated by two-dimensional reflection data, AngloGold Ashanti acquired 3D data in 1996, which is being re-processed and re-interpreted, Linzer et al (2018). Further research is expected to reveal more detail of the responsible structure. There will also be an attempt to delineate the extent of the intrusives with high stress and the intrusives associated with saline water. The intrusives will be compared with the M5.5 aftershock distribution.

SUMMARIES

The 2014–2019 ICDP science plan states: “reaching the depths of the seismogenic zone where earthquakes nucleate has always been a challenge for fault-zone drilling projects”, Mori and Ellsworth (2013). Brodsky et al (2009), stated that, "the reliable measurement of the magnitude and orientation of stress at depth is a significant challenge". However, drilling in deep South African gold mines enabled the researchers to probe the normal-faulting stress regime near the mining horizon, the zone of strike-slip-faulting aftershocks produce by a M5.5 earthquake, and a seismic gap in-between the normal and strike-slip stress regimes by means of full-core drilling followed by geophysical logging and core stress measurements (Yabe et al, this conference). This study has also allowed geomicrobiologists to start investigation of the saline water and gas that they targeted. Multidisciplinary investigation is ongoing for additional integrated outcomes.
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